

23 rue du Loess

Towards a techno-economic and life-cycle assessment simulation tool for H₂ / Natural gas CHP-based systems to help local energy policies

Paul Robineau, Maria Boltoeva, Nicolas Arbor and Gaetana Quaranta Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France



paul.robineau@iphc.cnrs.fr paul-robineau.github.io

BP 28 67037 STRASBOURG CEDEX 2

Context and objectives

The **CO2InnO project** is a France-Germany cross-border living laboratory, one of its key objectives being an comprehensive assessment of H₂ use in potential decentralized energy systems^[1]. It involves use of H₂ as a fuel for **combined heat & power (CHP) plants**, due to their high efficiency.

The goal is to provide users (e.g. local authorities, NGO, companies, etc.) with a tool allowing to test various configurations of system components and functioning, evaluate their feasibility (technical), interest (economical), and impact (environmental).

This is part of a contemporary trend to try and combine what is known as **techno-economic analysis** (**TEA**) and its environmental counterpart, the **life cycle assessment** (**LCA**)^[2]. This combination is challenging due to methodological considerations, but also because there is nearly no operational tool at disposal to conduct such integrated assessments. Moreover, the most Our ongoing work propose a framework for such a task, while incorporating an **open science approach wherever possible**. It is built on a case study of the Offenburg municipality buildings energy requirements.

Firstly, a simulated energy system have been developed in **OpenModelica** by our project partners to investigate the boundaries of technical feasibility^[4]. Based on these results, we are conducting a **parametric prospective LCA** of every system components^[5-6]. Indeed, even though the burning of **H**₂ can be considered climate neutral if considered in the use phase only, the environmental impact during the whole life cycle is not – nor the assessment should be limited to CO_2 emissions^[7-8]. Disaggregated results will then be imported back into the **OpenModelica** simulation to allow dynamic access to **LCA** results, depending on simulation parameters set by users. **This would act as a decision support tool**

Currently missing regionalization

The IAM models (IMAGE/REMIND) used in the premise tool have slightly different geographical definitions and resolution. **None of them display a country-level resolution regarding Europe**. This prevents comparative assessment of the relevance of the H₂ / Natural Gas CHP-based systems to guide local planning policies in FR/DE.



advanced propositions are based on proprietary software^[3].

helping these actors plan their local energy transition.

References

[1] CO2InnO Project. Interreg Upper Rhine 2021–2027, A1.3. URL

[2] Mahmud *et al.* 2021. Integration of techno-economic analysis and life cycle assessment for sustainable process design – A review. JCP 317, 128247. DOI.
 [3] Sharma *et al.* 2023. Sizing a hybrid hydrogen production plant including life cycle assessment indicators by combining NSGA-III and principal component analysis (PCA). Energy Convers. Manag. X 18, 100361. DOI.

[4] Beerlage *et αl.* 2024. Decentralised hydrogen fuelled gas engine CHP units: a feasibility study with Modelica. Proc. American Modelica Conference. <u>URL</u>.
 [5] Mutel, C., 2017. Brightway: An open source framework for Life Cycle Assessment. J. Open Source Softw. 2, 236. <u>DOI</u>.

[6] Sacchi *et al.* 2022. PRospective EnvironMental Impact asSEment (premise): A streamlined approach to producing databases for prospective life cycle assessment using integrated assessment models. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 160, 112311. DOI.

[7] Gerloff 2021. Comparative Life-Cycle-Assessment analysis of three major water electrolysis technologies while applying various energy scenarios for a greener hydrogen production. J Energy Storage 43, 102759. DOI.

[8] Zhao *et al.* 2020. Life cycle assessment of H2O electrolysis technologies. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 45, 23765–23781. DOI.

To resolve this limitation, we need to integrate (via premise) additional specific scenarios regarding the evolution of the electricity mix in FR and DE, on the model of what is already available for CH.

Indeed, based on the literature, it is reasonable to consider that this parameter is the most critical for the relevance of modeling the life-cycle impacts of such systems. Creation of FR scenarios based on the RTE & ADEME reports have been realized by R. Sacchi and his colleagues, and should be available at the end of 2024.

We are currently looking forward to realize the same kind of work for DE based on the Fraunhofer ISE & GEA reports.

System description Framework for integration of LCA results into the TEA tool A simulated energy system have been developed in **OpenModelica** by partners in the **CO2InnO project**^[4]. System dimensioning via **Open science tools for LCA** the OpenModelica simulation **Electricity production** Thermal energy production Brightway2: open-source software for LCA (in python)^[5] First round of technical simulations → Breaking the limits of the conventional LCA software → Reasonable value intervals for dimensioning of each system component → Modular design, collective development of functionalities → Separate LCAs of each system component → Advanced parametrization and prospective modeling Taking into account Illustrating example: the prospective dimension **Electrolyzer fabrication process** Energy storage **Prospective LCA**, using the **premise v2.0.1 tool**^[6]. State of the art LCI^[7] H₂ production → LCIA method: Environmental Footprint v3.1 SSP (Shared Socioeconomic Pathways) scenarios and storage → Ecoinvent database (*not open source*) modification → scaling of "Stack" and "Balance of Plant" subparts ➔ Consistently futurized databases → All variants of available SSP scenarios (SSP1, SSP2, SSP5) **AE** (acidification) GWP100 (climate change) HT (carcinogenic)



Real data of thermal and electrical energy consumption of municipality buildings Provided by the Offenburg city, with 1 h time resolution for one year

- Determination of energy system requirements for 2 main cases:
 1 building alone (decentralization at building level)
 - 5 buildings, shared equipment (decentralization at building complexes level)
- Multiple what-if situations tested to obtain reasonable dimensioning intervals for each single system component

	For 1 building			For all 5 buildings		
	From	То	Unit	From	То	Unit
PV	0	1000	m²	7000	15000	m²
Wind turbine	0	1	MW	0.5	1.5	MW
Battery	3	20	kWh	200	500	kWh
Electrolyzer	14	40	kW	400	700	kW
Thermal Storage	0,05	1	m³	10	20	m³
Gas Engine CHP	2,85	20	kW	30	115	kW _{el}
Heat Pump	5	40	kW _{th}	135	220	kW _{th}
Solar Thermal	5	15	m²	0	30	m²
H_2 Tank	1	100	m³	5	1000	m³
Compressor	80 bar and 4,44 Nm ³ /h			80 bar and 77 Nm ³ /h		





Our special thanks to our colleagues

Florian Beerlage and Muhamad Naqib Md Salim

This work is part of the CO2InnO project, which is funded by the

European Union (Interreg Upper Rhine 2021–2027, A1.3)



